RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL U 2 2007

## **FAX TRANSMITTAL**

### Lee Hinman

234 Twin Lakes Dr.

Panama City Beach, FL 32413

Voice 850-230-5601

FAX Call for # & it will be turned on

Web: www.247eQuotes.com
Email: LeeM.hinman@247eQuotes.com

To. Examiner: James H. Zurita

Company: Group Art Unit: 3625
Commissioner for Patents

Phone: 571-272-6766

Fax: 571-273-8300

From: Lee Hinman

Phone: 850-230-5601

Fax: See above

Date: 7/2/2007

Pages including this cover

Lee melvin Kimman

page: 15

Reference: Control Number: 10/812,998

See attached: ADMENDMENT and Status of Claims 1-25 10 sheets

Pile= 2007-07-02-FAXCoverSheet.doc

RECEIVED CENTRALFAX CENTER

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRANDMARK OFFICE PATENT OPERATIONS

JUL U 2 2007

In re Patent Application of

Lee Melvin Hinman

Control Number: 10/812,998

Examiner: James H. Zurita

Group Art Unit: 3625

For: System and Method for a Made to Specification e-commerce Quoting and Orders Processing System on a Stand Alone or Integrated Portal

I hereby certify that this correspondence was transmitted via fax phone number (571) 273-8300, to Group Art Unit 3625, to the attention of the Commissioner for Patents, Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this <u>2nd</u> day of <u>July</u>, 2007.

La Inelpin Henman

### **ADMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) communication mailed 4-2-2007, and identified as a Non-Final Rejection, please replace the correspondence sent on June 18<sup>th</sup>, 2007 (as I now have guidance from William B. Noil a local patent attorney) with this correspondence and please amend the application as follows:

In the Claims-

Cancel claims 1-12, and substitute therefore new claims 14-25 on the attached 4 sheets presenting the status of claims 1-25, said sheets forming a part of this amendment.

Added claim 13

A summary description of the patent flow

#### REMARKS

- With regard to the objection of the "Claims 1-12, now claims 14-25 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph" by having single sentences for each claim." have now been rewritten to comply.
- With regard to the objection of the Claims 1-3 now claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6167383 (<u>Henson</u>). Search for E-commerce only finds a reference in the footnote of "http://www.dell.com/us/en/gen/corporate/press/pressoffice.sub.13 us.sub.-- 1998-05-21-rr-000.htm, "Dell relaunches <u>E-Commerce</u> Site with New Features", May 21, 1998.
- 3. Claim 13 has been added at the beginning to summarize the flow/sequence process.